

# Effective Actions for 0+1 Dimensional Scalar QED and its SUSY Generalization at $T \neq 0$

J. Barcelos-Neto\* and Ashok Das  
Department of Physics and Astronomy  
University of Rochester  
Rochester, NY 14627 - USA

## Abstract

We compute the effective actions for the 0+1 dimensional scalar field interacting with an Abelian gauge background, as well as for its supersymmetric generalization at finite temperature.

PACS: 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Wx, 11.30.Pb

---

\*Permanent address: Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21945-970, Brazil

The effective action for 0+1 dimensional fermions interacting with a background Abelian gauge field, at finite temperature, has received much attention during the past year [1]-[3]. In this brief report, we present the finite temperature effective actions for scalar fields interacting with an Abelian gauge background as well as for its supersymmetric extension.

Let us consider the interacting scalar theory described by the Lagrangian

$$L = (D_t \phi_j)^* (D_t \phi_j) - m^2 \phi_j^* \phi_j \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N_f \quad (1)$$

where

$$D_t \phi_j = \partial_t \phi_j + iA \phi_j \quad (2)$$

Unlike the theory of massive fermions [1], this theory is, in fact, invariant under charge conjugation and, consequently, the effective theory can only contain terms involving an even number of photons. The simplest, of course, is the two point function and involves two distinct Feynman diagrams. At zero temperature, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} i I^{(2)}(p) &= \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left[ -\frac{2}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} + \frac{(2k+p)^2}{(k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)((k+p)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)} \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

implying that there is no quadratic term (in  $A$ ) in the effective action.

As we go to higher point functions, the number of graphs increases rapidly and a diagrammatic evaluation becomes complicated. Consequently, we follow an alternate procedure. Let us note that the effective action obtained by integrating out the scalar fields has the form (properly normalized)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= i N_f \log \frac{\det(-D_t^2 - m^2)}{\det(-\partial_t^2 - m^2)} \\ &= i N_f \left[ \log \frac{\det(iD_t - m)}{\det(i\partial_t - m)} + \log \frac{\det(iD_t + m)}{\det(i\partial_t + m)} \right] \\ &= \tilde{\Gamma}(m) + \tilde{\Gamma}(-m) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\tilde{\Gamma}(m)$  is the effective action obtained from the much simpler, first order scalar Lagrangian of the form

$$\tilde{L} = \tilde{\phi}_j^* (iD_t - m) \tilde{\phi}_j \quad (5)$$

Calculationally, this is much simpler. In fact, at zero temperature, this would lead to the same effective action as from the fermionic theory [1]-[3] (except for the negative sign associated with the fermion loop)

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(m) = -\frac{N_f}{2} \text{sgn}(m) \int dt A(t) \quad (6)$$

It follows from this that the effective action (4)

$$\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma}(m) + \tilde{\Gamma}(-m) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Namely, there is no radiative correction to the theory in Eq. (1) at zero temperature.

The evaluation of the effective action, at finite temperature, also follows in a straightforward manner. Let us consider the theory in Eq. (5) (with  $m > 0$  for simplicity) and note that because of the bosonic nature of the fields, the propagator at finite temperature has the form [4]

$$\tilde{G}(p) = \frac{i}{p - m + i\epsilon} + 2\pi n_B(m) \delta(p - m) \quad (8)$$

with ( $\beta = 1/kT$ )

$$n_B(m) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta m} - 1} \quad (9)$$

The propagator in coordinate space has the form

$$\tilde{G}(t) = \left( \theta(t) + n_B(m) \right) e^{-imt} \quad (10)$$

The 1-pt function is easy to evaluate.

$$\begin{aligned} i\tilde{I}^{(1)}(t) &= -iN_f \left( \theta(0) + n_B(m) \right) \\ &= -\frac{iN_f}{2} \coth \frac{\beta m}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This, of course, reduces to the 1-pt function from Eq. (6) at zero temperature (for  $m > 0$ ). Furthermore, following [2], we can show that in this case, the recursion relation between amplitudes has exactly the same form as in the fermionic theory

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{I}^{(N)}}{\partial m} = -i\beta (N+1) \tilde{I}^{(N+1)} \quad (12)$$

so that all the amplitudes are related to the 1-pt amplitude recursively. Thus, in this case, the effective action takes the form ( $a = \int dt A(t)$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Gamma}(m) &= -i \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} a^N (i\tilde{I}^{(N)}) \\
&= -\frac{i\beta N_f}{2} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{ia}{\beta}\right)^N \frac{\partial^{N-1}}{\partial m^{N-1}} \coth \frac{\beta m}{2} \\
&= i N_f \log \left\{ \cos \frac{a}{2} + i \coth \frac{\beta m}{2} \sin \frac{a}{2} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Consequently, the effective action for the interacting scalar theory in Eq. (1) has the form (see Eq. (4))

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \tilde{\Gamma}(m) + \tilde{\Gamma}(-m) \\
&= i N_f \log \left\{ \cos^2 \frac{a}{2} + \coth^2 \frac{\beta m}{2} \sin^2 \frac{a}{2} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

This can be easily seen to reduce to the zero temperature result of Eq. (7) and is invariant under the large gauge transformation  $a \longrightarrow a + 2\pi N$  as well, for any number of flavors,  $N_f$ .

Let us next consider the supersymmetric generalization of Eq.(1),

$$L_{\text{SUSY}} = (D_t \phi_j)^* (D_t \phi_j) - m^2 \phi_j^* \phi_j + \bar{\psi}_j (iD_t - m) \psi_j \tag{15}$$

The supersymmetric multiplet,  $(\phi_j, \psi_j)$ , is interacting with a background, Abelian gauge field. There is no photino in this theory and yet, because of the simplicity of 0+1 dimension, it can be easily checked that this Lagrangian is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \phi_j &= \epsilon \psi_j \\
\delta \psi_j &= -i(D_t \phi_j) \epsilon \\
\delta \lambda &= A \epsilon \\
\delta A &= -i\epsilon \partial_t \lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we assume that a Majorana fermion is a real fermion,  $\epsilon^* = \epsilon$  and  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^*$ . The transformations of the scalar and the charged fermion, in (16), are the conventional ones whereas those of the photon and the photino look unconventional. This is primarily because in 0+1 dimension, the photon has no kinetic energy term and is like an auxiliary field and that a fermion with an auxiliary field can describe a supersymmetric multiplet in lower dimensions [5, 6] (the conventional SUSY transformation would imply that the photino does not transform since the field strength

associated with the gauge field vanishes). In fact, it is worth noting that a Chern-Simons term in 0+1 dimension would be automatically supersymmetric under such a transformation and that the transformations of  $(A, \lambda)$  satisfy the supersymmetry algebra. This is, of course, not the most general supersymmetric interacting theory involving  $\phi_j, \psi_j, A, \lambda$ . For example, it is easy to check that

$$L = (D_t \phi_j)^* (D_t \phi_j) - m^2 \phi_j^* \phi_j + \bar{\psi}_j (i D_t - m) \psi_j + i \lambda \dot{\lambda} + \lambda (\psi_j \phi_j^* - \bar{\psi}_j \phi_j) - \frac{1}{4} (\phi_j^* \phi_j)^2 \quad (17)$$

is also invariant under the transformations (16) on-shell. It is, however, not clear if this theory is soluble because of the quartic scalar interactions. On the other hand, it is interesting that the simple theory in (ref15) is already invariant under supersymmetry and is soluble.

The effective action for Eq. (15), of course, follows from Eq. (14) as well as from earlier work [1] and has the form

$$\Gamma_{\text{SUSY}} = i N_f \left[ \log \left\{ \cos^2 \frac{a}{2} + \coth^2 \frac{\beta m}{2} \sin^2 \frac{a}{2} \right\} - \log \left\{ \cos \frac{a}{2} + i \tanh \frac{\beta m}{2} \sin \frac{a}{2} \right\} \right] \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, this action, in addition to being invariant under large gauge transformations, is also invariant under the supersymmetry transformations, Eq. (16). In fact, it is interesting to note that a SUSY transformation that leaves the Chern-Simons action invariant would also automatically be a symmetry of any nonextensive function of it. This behavior is quite distinct from the conventional expectation [7] that supersymmetry is broken at finite temperature.

**Acknowledgment:** This work was supported in part by U.S. DOE Grant No. DE-FG-02-91 ER40685, NSF-INT-9602559 and CNPq (brazilian agency).

## References

- [1] G. Dunne, K. Lee and C. Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 3434.
- [2] A. Das and G. Dunne, Phys. Rev. D57 (1998) 5023.
- [3] J. Barcelos-Neto and A. Das, Phys. Rev. D58 (1998) 085022.
- [4] A. Das, *Finite temperature field theory*, World Scientific (1997)
- [5] M. Sakamoto, Phys. Lett. 151B (1985) 115.
- [6] R. Amorim and A. Das, Phys. Rev. D57 (1998) 2599.
- [7] A. Das, Physica A158 (1989) 1.